



Research paper

Graphene supported NiO/Ni nanoparticles as efficient photocatalyst for gas phase CO₂ reduction with hydrogen

Diego Mateo, Josep Albero*, Hermenegildo García*

Instituto de Tecnología Química, Universitat Politècnica de València-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Avenida de los Naranjos s/n, 46022, Valencia, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Solar fuels
Photocatalysis
CO₂ methanation
Graphene
Ni nanoparticles

ABSTRACT

The photocatalytic activity of NiO/Ni nanoparticles (NPs) supported on defective graphene (NiO/Ni-G) has been tested for the photoassisted CO₂ reduction with H₂. NiO/Ni-G was prepared by H₂ reduction of NiCl₂ adsorbed on few-layers defective G and storage under air. An optimal Ni loading of 23 wt% was found, reaching the maximum specific CH₄ formation rate (642 μmol CH₄ g_{Ni}⁻¹ h⁻¹ at 200 °C) and quantum yield of 1.98%. Under the same conditions Ni NPs supported on silica-alumina or NiO NPs exhibit notably lower specific CH₄ production rates than NiO/Ni-G. It was found that H₂O formed in the reaction has a detrimental influence on the photocatalytic activity and evidence supports that H₂O desorption is one of the reasons why the system requires heating. Under continuous flow operation, undesirable water molecules were easier desorbed from the NiO/Ni-G photocatalyst than in the batch mode, reaching a steady specific CH₄ production rate of 244.8 μL h⁻¹ for 50 mg of NiO/Ni-G catalyst with a residence time of 3.1 s. Quenching experiments with electron donor of different oxidation potential (dimethylaniline, anisole and p-xylene) are compatible with a mechanism involving photoinduced charge separation.

1. Introduction

Much effort is being devoted to develop photocatalysis for the production of solar fuels and particularly to perform CO₂ reduction with a sufficiently high efficiency to compete favorably with conventional catalytic reactions [1–4]. However, in spite of the intense research in the last years in the field, quantum efficiencies and reaction rates for the so-called *artificial photosynthesis* in which CO₂ is reduced by H₂O are much below 1%, typically in the range of μmol g_{Cat.}⁻¹ h⁻¹, still very far from any application [5–14]. Considering the urgency of finding viable solutions for the abatement of CO₂ emissions and implementation of the circular economy for this compound, solar light photocatalysis is moving towards the photoassisted reduction of CO₂ by H₂, since this process can reach specific reaction rates per g of photocatalyst of hundreds of μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ and apparent quantum yields in the order approaching 1% [15–20]. It is being considered that H₂ can be obtained from renewable electricity by electrolysis and it can become available as reducing chemical for CO₂ [1,2,21].

Photoassisted CO₂ reduction by H₂ has been frequently reported using materials containing noble or critical metals, such as Pd, Ru and In in its composition [15,17,18,20]. For the sake of sustainability, it would be more convenient the use of abundant, non-critical first-row transition metals in the process, Ni being an obvious candidate

considering its availability and the fact that Ni supported on mixed silica alumina (Ni/SiO₂-Al₂O₃) is a commercial catalyst for the thermal CO₂ methanation. CO₂ methanation is one of the few exothermic reactions involving CO₂ as substrate and it can be conveniently carried out in a thermal process using Ni/SiO₂-Al₂O₃ as catalysts at temperatures above 400 °C to reach high conversions and rates [22–26]. The advantage of the photoassisted reaction is that it can be performed in the range of temperatures in which the thermal process does not occur or gives only low CO₂ conversions due to the low reaction rates. To shift equilibrium towards the products in an exothermic reaction, low reaction temperatures, but with adequate rates, are more favorable and this could be reached in the photoassisted process.

Continuing with this line of research, it is of much current interest to find other Ni containing materials that can exhibit even higher activity than Ni/SiO₂-Al₂O₃ for the photoassisted CO₂ reduction by H₂ to CH₄ and to gain information about the mechanism of the photo-assisted process. In the present manuscript, it will be reported that NiO/Ni NPs supported on few layers defective graphene (f-G) is a suitable photocatalyst to promote the photoassisted CO₂ reduction by H₂ with specific rates and quantum yields of about 642 μmol g_{Ni}⁻¹ h⁻¹ and 1.98%, respectively. Graphene (G) is a one-atom thick sheet constituted by sp² carbons in hexagonal arrangement. Among the unique properties of G besides their high electric and thermal conductivity, others that

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: joalsan6@upvnet.upv.es (J. Albero), hgarcia@qim.upv.es (H. García).

important from the catalytic point of view are its high specific surface area (about $2500 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) [27] and its strong interaction with supported metal nanoparticles as consequence of the favorable overlap of the extended π orbital of G with the d orbitals of the metal atoms [28]. In addition, G and other 2D related materials have been successfully employed not only as support, but also as additive in catalysts for photocatalytic CO_2 reduction [29–33]. It is, therefore, of interest to demonstrate the general ability of graphenes to increase the photocatalytic activity of active components also for other photoassisted CO_2 reductions. In the present manuscript a defective G material obtained by pyrolysis from a natural polysaccharide that contains carbon vacancies, holes and residual oxygenated functional groups was used. Preparation of this type of defective G from alginate has been already reported in the literature and fully characterization is available [34]. It appears that, as it has been well established in semiconducting photocatalysts [35–37], the presence of graphene results in a remarkable enhancement of the efficiency of Ni to promote the photoassisted process.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and procedures

Alginic acid sodium salt from brown algae (Sigma) was pyrolyzed under argon atmosphere at 900°C with a heating rate of $10^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ for 2 h to obtain few-layers graphene (fl-G).

Ni NPs were deposited by incipient wetness impregnation, adding dropwise a 10 mL nickel (II) chloride hexahydrate solution over pre-formed fl-G powders under constant stirring. After this time, the Ni(x)-G (x: wt.% Ni) photocatalyst was filtered and washed with milli Q water and acetone until the complete removal of chloride. The solid catalysts were, then, dried overnight in an oven at 80°C . The fl-G powders were reduced under H_2 flow (100 mL min^{-1}) at 500°C for 2 h with a heating rate of $10^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$. The resulting material was dried in a vacuum desiccator at 110°C to remove the remaining water and stored under ambient atmosphere before its use as photocatalyst.

The amount of nickel present in the samples was determined by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) by immersing the catalysts into aqua regia at room temperature for 12 h and analyzing the Ni content of the resulting solution.

2.2. Characterization

Powder XRD patterns were recorded on a Shimadzu XRD-7000 diffractometer using Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$, 40 kV, 40 mA) at a scanning speed of 1° per min in the 10 – $80^\circ 2\theta$ range. Raman spectrum was collected with a Horiba Jobin Yvon-Labram HR UV-vis NIR (200–1600 nm) Raman microscope spectrometer, using a laser with the wavelength of 512 nm. The spectrum was collected from 10 scans at a resolution of 2 cm^{-1} .

XP spectra were measured on a SPECS spectrometer equipped with a Phoibos 150 9MCD detector using a non-monochromatic X-ray source (Al and Mg) operating at 200 W. The samples were evacuated in the prechamber of the spectrometer at $1 \cdot 10^{-9}$ mbar. The measured intensity ratios of the components were obtained from the area of the corresponding peaks after nonlinear Shirley-type background subtraction and corrected by the transition function of the spectrometer.

TEM images were recorded in a JEOL JEM 2100F under accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Samples were prepared by applying one drop of the suspended material in ethanol onto a carbon-coated copper TEM grid, and allowing them to dry at room temperature.

Diffuse reflectance UV-vis spectra (DRS) in the range of 200–800 nm were recorded on a Cary 5000 spectrophotometer from Varian.

2.3. Photocatalytic tests

A photoreactor (51 mL) with a quartz window and a nickel alloy thermocouple was load with Ni(x)-G photocatalyst. H_2 and CO_2 were introduced in stoichiometric amounts up to achieve a final pressure of 1.3 bar. The photoreactor was heated at different temperatures prior irradiation by means of a heating mantle equipped with thermocouple and controller, and when the desired temperature was stabilized the photocatalyst was irradiated with a UV-vis light from a 300 W Xe lamp. Note that the time required before temperature equilibration can be about 30 min. At that moment the lamp is switched on and this is the initial time of the experiments. No change in the gas phase composition was observed at initial reaction time. The CH_4 formation was followed by direct measurement of the reactor gases with an Agilent 490 MicroGC having two channels both with TC detectors and Ar as carrier gas. One channel has MolSieve 5A column and analyses H_2 , O_2 , N_2 and CO. The capability of our Micro GC apparatus to detect CO using Ar as carrier gas has been tested using certified Abello Linde® N_2 :CO mixtures at different concentrations (20, 10 and 5 vol.%) (see Fig. S1 in supplementary information). The second channel has a Pore Plot Q column and analyses CO_2 , CH_4 and up to C_4 hydrocarbons. Quantification of the percentage of each gas was based on prior calibration of the system injecting mixtures with known percentage of gases.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Photocatalyst preparation and characterization

NiO/Ni NPs supported on fl-G with different Ni content were prepared adding different amounts of hydrated NiCl_2 to fl-G dispersions, followed by subsequent thermal reduction of Ni^{II} by H_2 atmosphere at 500°C for 2 h. After chemical reductions, the resulting samples were stored under ambient for at least one day before being used as photocatalyst. This exposure to the ambient can cause some spontaneous oxidation of the Ni, as it will be discussed below. The Ni content of each sample has been confirmed by ICP-OES elemental analysis. The different samples under study and their respective Ni contents (wt.%) are summarized in Table 1.

Raman spectroscopy was employed to characterize the defective fl-G used as support. The Raman spectrum revealed the typical 2D (2700 cm^{-1}), G (1580 cm^{-1}) and D (1350 cm^{-1}) bands characteristic to the defective G obtained from the pyrolysis of alginate (see Fig. 1a). The defects correspond to carbon vacancies and holes generated by evolution of CO_2 and CO during the pyrolysis and to the presence of residual oxygen (about 7 wt.%) remaining from the composition of the

Table 1

Ni content in the NiO/Ni-G samples, Ni average particle size, total catalyst amount employed and CH_4 production rates obtained at 200°C upon 2236 W m^{-2} irradiation using a 300 W Xe lamp. $P_{\text{H}_2} = 1.05 \text{ bar}$, $P_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.25 \text{ bar}$.

Sample	Ni [wt%] ^a	Size [nm]	Cat. [mg]	CH_4 [$\mu\text{mol g}_{\text{Ni}}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$]
fl-G	–	–	26.1	0
Ni(6)-G	6.63	–	20	0
Ni(14)-G	14.42	8.0 ± 2.0	25.4	329.05
Ni(23)-G	23.02	8.5 ± 3.5	40	642.66
Ni(23)-G ^b	23.02	8.5 ± 3.5	39.6	0
Ni(23)-G ^c	23.02	8.5 ± 3.5	25.2	0
Ni(26)-G	26.1	13.7 ± 6.0	37	303.09
Ni-Al ₂ O ₃ /SiO ₂	65	6.2 ± 1.1 ^d	14	358.5
NiO	–	< 50	12.7	31.1
NiO-fl-G	23	–	25.4	69.86
Ni(23)-G ^e	23.02	8.5 ± 3.5	25.5	0

a) The difference in weight corresponds to the percentage of graphene; b) Room temperature; c) Dark conditions; d) From ref. [38]; e) Photocatalysts pre-activated under H_2 atmosphere at 200°C for 4 h and subsequent reaction at room temperature under light illumination.




Fig. 1. Raman spectrum of *fl*-G obtained from alginate pyrolysis and subsequent exfoliation recorded upon 512 nm laser excitation (a). XRD pattern of Ni(23)-G photocatalyst (b).

polysaccharide precursor after pyrolysis.

XRD pattern of the Ni(23)-G photocatalyst revealed that the NiO/Ni NPs were highly crystalline and mainly constituted by Ni metal (Fig. 1b). Diffraction peaks corresponding to NiO, Ni(OH)₂ or Ni₂O₃ were undetectable in the diffractogram as can be appreciated in Fig. 1b.

The presence of NiO/Ni NPs on the *fl*-G sheets was observed by HRTEM and confirmed by FESEM, EDS and elemental mapping (Fig. S2 in supplementary Information). Fig. 2a corresponds to a representative HRTEM image of NiO/Ni-G showing that NiO/Ni NPs are homogeneously distributed on *fl*-G sheets. For comparison, an image of *fl*-G sample, prior to Ni deposition, has been also presented as Fig. 2b as well as in Fig. S3 in Supplementary Information. The supported NiO/Ni NPs have a round morphology, as can be observed in the magnified image presented in Fig. 2c, with diameters typically smaller than 10 nm, increasing average particle size with the Ni loading on the G sheet. The histogram corresponding to the particle size distribution for the Ni(23)-G is presented in Fig. 2d. From the measurement of a statistically relevant number of Ni NPs and average particle size of 8.5 ± 3.5 nm was estimated for this sample in spite of the relatively high Ni loading. High-resolution TEM allowed to measure 0.18 nm for particle lattice fringe that corresponds to the interplanar distance of the 200 facets of fcc Ni metal particles [39], thus, confirming that the particles are mainly constituted by Ni⁰.

High-resolution XPS peaks of Ni 2p and their corresponding best deconvolution to the different Ni oxidation states are presented in Fig. 3. The Ni 2p_{3/2} peak shows the presence of two components centered at 853.6 eV and 856.3 eV that can be attributed to Ni⁰ and Ni^{II}, respectively. These binding energies are shifted to higher values compared to those reported in the literature for Ni⁰ and Ni^{II} at 852 and 854 eV, respectively, indicating the occurrence of charge transfer from NiO/Ni NPs as electron donor to G. Previously, a shift to higher binding energy values of 2 eV was also observed for Ni NPs adsorbed on G [40]. Moreover, in the present case, the Ni⁰ is a minor component of the experimental XPS Ni 2p_{3/2} peak. Therefore, in addition to Ni⁰ as the predominant phase characterized by XRD, XPS shows the presence of NiO determined by the prominent satellites observed in the Ni 2p_{3/2} and Ni 2p_{1/2} peaks. To reconcile both observations, it is worth reminding that while XRD probes the whole sample, XPS only provides information of the outermost nanometers of the metal NPs that are more prone to undergo spontaneous oxidation by exposure to the atmosphere. In addition, XRD is useful to characterize crystalline NiO and the presence of NiO as amorphous material due to its thin dimensions would be undetectable by XRD. Therefore, XPS provides two important pieces of information: the presence of NiO on the NPs and the charge transfer from NiO/Ni NPs to *fl*-G, implying a notable interaction between them. It should be reminded that even though the preparation of the Ni-G samples was made by H₂ reduction of Ni²⁺-G at 500 °C for 2 h, the samples were stored under ambient conditions and this should lead

to a fast passivation of the surface of the Ni NPs with the formation of some NiO overlayers.

The C 1 s XPS peak (Supplementary information Fig. S4a) shows a major component centered at 284.5 eV corresponding to graphenic sp² C, as well as other contributions at 285.5, 286.6 and 289.2 eV corresponding to C atoms bonded to O atoms corresponding to ketones, epoxides and carbonyls groups, respectively. The XPS peak of O 1 s (Supplementary Information Fig. S4b) can be adequately deconvoluted in two main components corresponding to oxygen atoms bonded to C through single or double bonds. The presence of a component at 529.4 eV corresponding to NiO was also observed, [41] although as a minor component. However, the component at 531.1 eV related to Ni(OH)₂ could not be identified, confirming NiO as the main oxide component in the Ni 2p_{3/2} that should form a thin coating on the major metallic Ni NPs. [41]

The diffuse reflectance UV–vis spectra of Ni(23)-G and G samples are shown in Fig. S5. As can be observed there, the only contribution of the Ni NPs in the NiO/Ni-G photocatalyst absorption is in the UV region, below 350 nm [42,43]. NiO should present a prominent band centered at 500 nm, however, in the present case this band could not be detected, thus confirming Ni metal as the principal oxidation state in the NPs as indicated by XRD and that the layer of NiO, detectable only by XPS, has to be thin.

3.2. Photocatalytic tests

Photocatalytic tests for CO₂ reduction by H₂ were carried out in a cylindrical quartz reactor equipped with an electrical heating ribbon that allows to heat the reactor at the required temperature. The photocatalyst was placed as a thin millimetric bed on a ceramic crucible under the illuminated reactor area and the photoreactor was charged with 4-to-1 partial pressures of H₂ and CO₂ at a total pressure of 1.3 bar. The temperature of the photoreactor was equilibrated in the dark prior irradiation with UV–vis light from a 300 W Xe lamp.

Preliminary experiments for the gas phase CO₂ reduction at 200 °C and 2236 W m⁻² UV–vis light illumination testing the photo activity of *fl*-G showed that no CH₄ was formed. Then, a series of NiO/Ni-G photocatalysts containing different Ni content were tested under the same conditions for the gas phase CO₂ reduction reaction. The conditions of the experiments and the results achieved are summarized in Table 1. All the samples containing NiO/Ni NPs were active to promote photo-assisted CH₄ formation. The presence of CO, at least in proportions above 1%, can be ruled out (see supplementary information regarding CO detection). Thus, it can be assumed that NiO/Ni NPs are the component responsible for CH₄ production in accordance with literature precedents [12f]. Therefore, to rank the photo activity of various samples, the specific CH₄ production rates were calculated dividing the mols of CH₄ formed by the mass of Ni and time (μmol CH₄ g_{Ni}⁻¹ h⁻¹).




Fig. 2. HRTEM images of Ni(23)-G photocatalyst (a) and *fl*-G (b). Bottom images show a magnification of the main image (a) showing one of the NiO/Ni NP (c) and the particle size distribution (d).




Fig. 3. Experimental XPS Ni 2p peaks measured for the Ni(23)-G sample.

The CH_4 production rate increased with the Ni content up to 23 wt.%, reaching a value of $642 \mu\text{mol g}_{\text{Ni}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ and a calculated TON per Ni atom of 3.4 were obtained with this optimum load. Considering that CH_4 formation is an eight electrons reduction process, the TON value indicates that each Ni atom is on average involved in 26.2 electron transfer events. Further increase in Ni content produced a detrimental effect in the specific CH_4 production rate, as indicated in Table 1 and can be observed in Fig. 4(inset).

Existence of an optimal Ni loading on G indicates that there should be at least two opposite factors contributing to the observed photocatalytic activity. On one hand, an increase in the Ni loading on *fl*-G should reduce the internal filter effect of light due to defective *fl*-G and favor light absorption by NiO/Ni NPs increasing the activity of the material. In this sense, low percentages of *fl*-G should be more favorable to enhance the photocatalytic activity. On the other hand, upon increase of Ni loading on *fl*-G, an increase in the particle size distribution and a decrease on the percentage of surface Ni atoms (*dispersion*) should occur (compare size of Ni(23)-G and Ni(26)-G in Table 1 and Fig. S3 in Supplementary Information), this effect playing a negative role on the photocatalytic activity beyond a certain size. Therefore, higher *fl*-G percentages should favor photocatalytic activity by keeping Ni particle size small and assisting charge separation (*vide infra*). A compromise between these two opposite effects requiring low and high *fl*-G percentage is apparently reached for Ni(23)-G.

In order to confirm the origin of the C source in the CH_4 production and the formation of H_2O according to Eq. (1) $^{13}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}_2$ was used as substrate and the obtained products were analyzed after 2 h reaction under optimal conditions by GC-MS spectroscopy (Fig. S6 in Supplementary Information). The analysis shows a mix of 14% of unlabeled $^{12}\text{CH}_4$ and 86% of $^{13}\text{CH}_4$, indicating that a minor portion of the produced CH_4 does not come from the labelled substrate. The most likely





Fig. 4. CH₄ evolution using the Ni(23)-G photocatalyst at 200 °C and 2236 W·m⁻² illumination. 34.7 mg of Ni(23)-G and partial pressures of 1.05 and 0.25 bar for H₂ and CO₂, respectively, were used. The inset shows the CH₄ evolution of the NiO/Ni-G photocatalysts with different Ni loading. The amount of the different photocatalysts employed corresponds to those indicated in Table 1.

origin of the unlabeled CH₄ should be the C atoms of *fl*-G. In order to confirm this hypothesis a stability experiment was performed submitting Ni(23)-G sample at reaction conditions (200 °C and 2236 W m⁻²) under Ar atmosphere, and measuring the CO₂ evolution (Fig. S7 in Supplementary Information). As can be observed a small amount of CO₂ was observed to evolve under these conditions for the first 20 h. After this period, the CO₂ amount remained constant, confirming, on the one hand, the presence of small amounts of CO₂ originated from *fl*-G and, on the other hand, that the photocatalyst is photostable at long reaction times. It should be, however, reminded that the blank experiment with *fl*-G in where formation of CH₄ was not observed, indicates that even for this contribution of unlabeled CH₄, the presence of NiO/Ni is needed. In the same experiment using ¹³C¹⁸O₂, observation of H₂¹⁸O was also detected (see Fig. S6), confirming the formation of H₂O in the methanation.



Control experiments also confirmed that heating and light are necessary to produce CH₄ under the present experimental conditions, since CO₂ does not undergo reduction by Ni(23)-G upon irradiation at room temperature or in the dark at 200 °C by covering the photoreactor with aluminum foil with the light on (see data in Table 1). A different control experiment was carried out where Ni(23)-G sample was submitted to H₂ atmosphere at 200 °C for 4 h prior to its utilization as photocatalysts in methanation reaction under light irradiation at room temperature (Table 1), however, evolution CH₄ was not detected, indicating that temperature is playing a different role to photocatalyst activation as it will be discussed below. The results of the above control experiments are in good agreement with prior studies in the area that have shown that other Ni materials can promote the photoassisted CO₂ reduction by H₂, in particular Ni-Al₂O₃ and NiO [44–46].

To put the photocatalytic activity of Ni(23)-G into context, additional experiments were carried out with commercial samples of Ni-Al₂O₃/SiO₂ and NiO NPs (< 50 nm) from Aldrich that have been reported previously as photocatalysts for CO₂ methanation [46]. The photocatalytic activity of Ni-Al₂O₃/SiO₂ and NiO NPs was evaluated in the same photoreactor under identical conditions as those used for Ni(23)-G. The results are included also in Table 1. As can be seen there, using similar amounts of Ni, the specific CH₄ production rate of Ni-Al₂O₃/SiO₂ was about half that of the Ni(23)-G, suggesting that *fl*-G is not only acting as support of the Ni NPs, but also is contributing to the photoassisted process, probably favoring charge separation as it will be

commented below when discussing the reaction mechanism. Moreover, the use of NiO NPs resulted in one order of magnitude lower rate, indicating that metal Ni NPs with the overlayer of spontaneously formed NiO are more active in this reaction than NiO using identical conditions. On the other hand, the commercial NiO NPs were supported in *fl*-G by impregnation (see STEM image in Fig. S8 in supplementary information), and their photocatalytic activity tested under identical conditions as those used for Ni(23)-G. As can be seen in Table 1, a CH₄ production of 69.86 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ was obtained. This is indicating that the strong interaction between the *fl*-G and the NiO/Ni NPs of Ni-G samples, obtained by the experimental procedure reported here, and/or the NiO/Ni heterojunction confer about one order magnitude higher CH₄ production than supporting preformed NiO NPs directly on *fl*-G.

The temporal CH₄ evolution using the Ni(23)-G sample as photocatalyst at 200 °C and 2236 W cm⁻² irradiation is shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen there, the initial CH₄ production was constant only during 4 h. However, after 4 h, the CH₄ production rate decreased. Linear fits of the experimental points at the beginning of the reaction (4 h) and at long reaction times (from 8 to 70 h) are depicted in Fig. 4 as red lines. As can be seen, two different slopes can be clearly identified. At initial reaction times a fast CH₄ evolution can be observed, while at longer times the slope becomes smaller, although still constant for 70 h reaction. XPS measurements after recovery of the used Ni(23)-G sample from the photoreactor and exposure to the ambient revealed that the Ni 2p 3/2 spectrum after reaction (Supplementary Information Fig. S9) was very similar to the XPS presented in Fig. 3, indicating that the oxidation state of the outermost part of the Ni NPs probed by XPS is not modified by the reaction conditions. In contrast, TEM images of the Ni(23)-G photocatalyst after reaction revealed an increase in the Ni NP size distribution (Fig. S10). The average particle size of the photocatalysts after reaction was determined as 19.3 ± 9.7 nm, significantly higher than 8.5 ± 3.5 nm measured for the fresh sample. This particle size growth is a general observation when metal NPs are submitted to heating at temperatures about 200 °C for prolonged periods. As indicated previously when justifying the influence of Ni loading on G on the photocatalytic activity, large particle sizes such as that of Ni(26)-G (13.7 ± 6 nm) are detrimental for the photocatalytic activity, reducing approximately to the half the CH₄ production rate, due to the lower proportion of exposed active metals at the surface. Therefore, the sintering process that the NiO/Ni NPs suffer under the reaction conditions at the initial reaction stage should be responsible for a decrease in the rate of CH₄ production, although then the rate becomes constant at

least for 70 h.

3.3. Mechanism

Although no changes in the XP spectrum of Ni(23)-G before and after the reaction could be observed, we were interested in ascertain the presence of NiO under the reaction conditions, particularly considering the reductive conditions and the temperature of the methanation. Aimed at this purpose, the experimental XPS analysis of Ni(23)-G prior reaction was compared to that measured *in situ* under the reaction conditions. Thus, a Ni(23)-G sample was submitted to H₂ atmosphere at 200 °C for 4 h, measuring *in situ* the Ni 2p peak in the XPS spectrum without exposure to the ambient (Fig. S11). As can be observed, the component related to Ni⁰ (around 853 eV) becomes higher under the reaction conditions compared to the sample prior to the reaction. However, the XPS component corresponding to NiO is still bigger than that of the Ni metal. In fact, the relative percentage of Ni⁰ in the sample before and under *in situ* conditions changed from 15% to 23.5%, respectively. These measurements clearly confirm that in spite of the reductive conditions, the thin layer of NiO should be still present, particularly at initial reaction times that is when the NiO/Ni-G photocatalyst exhibits the highest photocatalytic activity. It can be, therefore, suggested that it is in this outermost part of NiO in contact with Ni where the reaction takes place.

To gain further information on the reaction mechanism and the role of Ni in the process, Ni(23)-G was placed in a sealed reactor and exposed to a H₂ atmosphere at 200 °C for 4 h and then, the sample was allowed to cool down under Ar flow ensuring the complete removal of H₂ gas. Subsequently, the reactor was load only with CO₂ and submitted to the general reaction conditions at 200 °C and 2236 W m⁻² illumination. After 18 h reaction, a detectable amount of CH₄ was measured, suggesting the formation of Ni-H species in the first step, without the need of photoactivation that subsequently are able to promote photoassisted CO₂ reduction as reported before [46].

As commented above, the photoassisted CO₂ reduction by NiO/Ni-G requires the combination of heating and irradiation to occur. The influence of light intensity and temperature on the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction using Ni(23)-G was, therefore, studied to establish this dependency. The results are presented in Fig. 5.

As can be observed in Fig. 5, the specific CH₄ production initial rate is clearly dependent of the irradiation power, confirming that, under the present conditions, the CO₂ methanation is a photoassisted process. The apparent quantum yield at 200 °C in the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction using the Ni(23)-G photocatalyst was estimated of 1.98%. On the other hand, the specific CH₄ production initial rate at constant illumination varied also as a function of the reaction temperature. As commented before, reactions performed at room temperature resulted in negligible CH₄ production initial rate (see last entry in Table 1 and footnote f). Heating the photoreactor at 150 °C resulted in CH₄ evolution rates below 100 $\mu\text{mol g}_{\text{Ni}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$. However, temperature of 250 °C produced CH₄ at a specific rate of 850 $\mu\text{mol g}_{\text{Ni}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$, approximately. The dependency of the specific CH₄ production rate with the temperature of the system allows us to calculate an apparent activation energy of 38.6 kJ mol⁻¹ for the process.

To gain understanding on the mechanism of the photoassisted CO₂ methanation promoted by NiO/Ni-G as photocatalyst and, particularly, the possible role of photogenerated electrons and holes, a series of experiments adding probe molecules were performed. Specifically, a series of photocatalytic tests were carried out adding compounds with increasing ability to act as electron donors. It was assumed that if the mechanism of the photoassisted reaction involves charge separation, the presence of additives that could act as sacrificial electron donor or acceptor agents should enhance or stop, respectively, the photocatalytic activity. Ideally the presence of these electron donor/acceptor additives should not influence the thermal methanation mechanism, at least, in a large extent.




Fig. 5. Specific CH₄ production rate of Ni(23)-G photocatalyst as a function of the light intensity (a) and temperature (b). The total catalyst amount employed in the light intensity and temperature experiments was of 25 and 36 mg, respectively. Light intensity tests were performed at a constant temperature of 200 °C, while the light intensity in the temperature dependency experiments was of 2236 W m⁻². P_{H₂} = 1.05 bar, P_{CO₂} = 0.25 bar in all cases.

Therefore, the photoassisted CO₂ reduction using Ni(23)-G as promoter was carried out in the presence of dimethylaniline, anisole and p-xylene as electron donors (oxidation potentials of 0.9, 1.92 and 2.17 V vs. Ag/AgCl, respectively) and 4-nitrobenzene as electron acceptor quenchers. Note that according to their boiling points the sacrificial agents are in all cases in the gas phase at the reaction temperature. It was observed that the presence of *N,N*-dimethylaniline (0.16 mmol) increases the specific CO₂ reduction initial rate by a factor over 2.5, reaching a value of 1600 $\mu\text{mol g}_{\text{Ni}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$. CH₄ was also formed in the presence of anisole as electron donor, although at much slower initial reaction rate than in the case of *N,N*-dimethylaniline in accordance with its higher oxidation potential. In contrast, the presence of p-xylene (oxidation potential of 2.17 V vs. Ag/AgCl) completely stopped the production of CH₄, since this aromatic molecule would not be able to quench the holes on Ni(23)-G due to its high oxidation potential (see Fig. 6). In the presence of nitrobenzene acting as electron acceptor quencher, CO₂ reduction was also quenched, due to the preferential trapping of electrons by nitrobenzene that has a lower reduction potential compared to CO₂. Note that it would be hard to explain any influence of the presence of electron donor/acceptor quenchers at this small concentration if a photothermal mechanism were operating.

Photogeneration of charges and its role in CO₂ reduction by NiO/Ni-G was also in agreement with the photoresponse spectrum. It was observed that the photocatalytic activity of NiO/Ni-G is due exclusively to the UV light and that filtering UV region (< 350 nm) and irradiating exclusively with visible light leads to the complete disappearance of the




Fig. 6. CH_4 production as function of the sacrificial agent oxidation potential. The CH_4 production rate was measured at 1 h under constant light intensity of 2236 W m^{-2} from a 300 W Xenon lamp at 200°C . $P_{\text{H}_2} = 1.05 \text{ bar}$, $P_{\text{CO}_2} = 1 \text{ bar}$. 20 μL of each sacrificial agent was added to the reactor vessel in each experiment.

photocatalytic activity. This behavior is in agreement with the absorption spectrum of NiO/Ni-G that, as previously commented, exhibits an electronic absorption band in the UV region with onset about 360 nm (Fig. S5). This absorption on the UV region indicates that NiO (bandgap 2.83 eV) is present on the NiO/Ni-G as a minor component and with absorption by graphene being not responsible for the photocatalysis. It is frequently observed that photothermal effects in which light is converted into heat by the metal NPs occurs upon irradiation in the visible and even in the NIR regions [47]. In contrast, photoinduced charge separation requires electronic excitation between two energy levels, leading to the generation of electrons and holes and this process is often observed only with UV light.

Transient absorption measurements were carried out to provide support to the generation of charge separation due to the interaction of NiO/Ni NPs and *fl*-G. Aimed at this purpose, an Ar-purged *fl*-G dispersion in acetonitrile (1 mg mL^{-1}) was submitted to 355 nm laser pulse excitation and the transient spectrum was acquired at 30 ns (Fig. 7a). As can be observed in Fig. 7 the transient spectrum of the *fl*-G dispersion corresponds to a continuous band decreasing in intensity towards the red part of the spectrum [48]. This behavior has been observed previously in similar graphenic materials and attributed to the charge separation state decaying in microsecond time scale [48]. In a second control, commercial NiO NPs ($< 50 \text{ nm}$) from Aldrich were partially reduced in an aqueous N_2H_4 solution (50% vol) to NiO/Ni as determined by XRD in order to simulate the NiO/Ni NPs that are present on the NiO/Ni-G samples. Then, the partially reduced NiO/Ni NPs were dispersed in acetonitrile (1 mg mL^{-1}) and the transient spectrum was

also acquired upon 355 nm laser excitation (Fig. 7a). A negative signal corresponding to the bleaching of NiO/Ni ground state absorption was observed. Finally, a small aliquot of the dispersed NiO/Ni NPs corresponding to 0.5 mg was added to the *fl*-G suspension (2 mg) in acetonitrile and the transient spectrum recorded at 30 ns upon 355 nm laser excitation (Fig. 7a). It was observed that addition of NiO/Ni NPs induces quenching of the signal observed for the *fl*-G dispersion, supporting the interaction of the charge separation state of *fl*-G flakes and the NiO/Ni NPs.

The transient signals of the *fl*-G, NiO/Ni NPs and *fl*-G containing Ni NPs were monitored at different wavelengths, obtaining for all the wavelength identical results. Fig. 7b shows the kinetics of the transient signal decay of the three samples monitored at 415 nm. As can be observed, the sample containing *fl*-G presents a transient decay that can be fitted to a single exponential with a lifetime of 36 ns. The transient decay of the NiO/Ni NPs dispersion is presented in Fig. S12, and presents bi-exponential kinetics with a fast ($\tau_1 = 115 \text{ ns}$) and a slow ($\tau_2 = 3414 \text{ ns}$) component. When NiO/Ni NPs were added to the *fl*-G suspension the transient kinetics become faster, determining a lifetime of 26 ns from the single exponential fitting to the experimental points. Quenching of photoinduced *fl*-G charge separation state by NiO/Ni could lead to a new transient with electrons and holes located in the different components. The fact that growth of a new signal as result of the quenching is not observed could be due to a weak absorption of these transients. Overall, transient absorption spectroscopy provides evidence of the interaction of photoinduced charge separation state of *fl*-G and the NiO/Ni NPs. This interaction of the charge separate state should equally occur in NiO/Ni-G samples in good agreement with the previous experiments with sacrificial electron donors and acceptors. Therefore, the role of graphene in NiO/Ni-G systems will be to assist charge separation with migration of electrons from NiO/Ni to the graphene sheet. This more favorable charge separation would be responsible for the higher photocatalytic activity of NiO/Ni-G respect to commercial NiO and related NiO/Ni and even than that of Ni- $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2$, where electron delocalization of the inorganic metal oxide support is not possible.

On the other hand, to understand the role of the temperature on the photocatalytic process, a series of experiments adding to the reaction vessel small aliquots of H_2O , in the range of the amounts that should be formed in the methanation, were carried out (Fig. 8). It was reasoned that the value of the activation energy determined from the Arrhenius plot of the logarithm of the relative initial reaction rates with the inverse of the absolute temperature is relatively low for a transition state involving bond cleavage, while this value of activation energy is more common for the heat of H_2O desorption from the photocatalyst surface. It should be noted that H_2^{18}O formation was detected in the $^{13}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}_2$ labelled experiments. According to the stoichiometry (Equation 1),




Fig. 7. Transient spectra (a) and kinetics (b) of Ar-purged *fl*-G (black), NiO/Ni NPs (red) and *fl*-G with Ni NPs (blue) suspensions. Laser excitation 355 nm. Acquisition time for *fl*-G and *fl*-G with Ni NPs was 30 ns, and 500 ns for NiO/Ni NPs sample. Transient kinetics monitored at 415 nm. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)




Fig. 8. Specific CH₄ production rate measured at different reaction temperatures without or upon addition of 10 μL and 20 μL of H₂O. Reaction conditions: 2236 W m⁻², 25 mg of Ni(23)-G catalyst and P_{H₂} = 1.05 bar, P_{CO₂} bar in all experiments.

methanation of CO₂ should also produce two H₂O molecules and that at low temperatures these molecules could be preferentially adsorbed on the surface of the photocatalyst, resulting in the inhibition of the reaction.

As can be observed in Fig. 8, addition of 10 or 20 μL of H₂O in the reaction media resulted in a detrimental effect on the specific CH₄ production rate, proportional to the amount of H₂O, the higher the amount of H₂O present at any of the temperatures studied, the lower the specific CH₄ production rate. Therefore, it is proposed that a possible role of the temperature in the system could be to favor H₂O desorption from the photocatalyst surface, giving an opportunity for H₂ and CO₂ activation. Note that according to the data presented in Fig. 4 and the stoichiometry of the reaction, the amount of H₂O formed at final reaction times should correspond to the addition of about 890 μL and that the amount of H₂O tested in Fig. 8 is similar to the amount formed at the early stages of methanation. Even with these relatively low H₂O concentrations, their negative influence is already clearly observed in Fig. 8. Therefore, it is clear that H₂O is a strong poison of the photomethanation and, it is proposed that the role of the temperature is to desorb this generated H₂O acting as poison from the catalyst surface.

Finally, the photocatalytic activity of the NiO/Ni-G catalyst was evaluated under continuous flow operation. Continuous flow is very convenient to test photocatalyst stability and the influence of the contact time on the conversion. In the present study, a total flow of 14.79 mL min⁻¹ containing a 76.8% of N₂, 18.8% of H₂ and 4.4% of CO₂ was passed through a cylindrical quartz reactor containing 50.7 mg of the Ni(23)-G photocatalyst loaded on the top of a fritted glass filter inside the reactor. Considering the volume of the photocatalyst (0.75 mL) and the gas flow, the contact time of CO₂ with the irradiated catalysts was 3.1 s. The cylindrical photoreactor was heated at 200 °C by means of an electrical heating ribbon controlled with a thermocouple and the irradiation was carried out using the same light source used in the previous experiments, but the light intensity at the photocatalyst was 754.7 W m⁻². A photograph of the system is provided in the Supplementary Information (Fig. S13).

The temporal evolution of the continuous flow CH₄ formation was followed for 4 h, obtaining a maximal specific CH₄ formation rate of 4.74 $\mu\text{L min}^{-1}$ that was decaying gradually up to 4.08 $\mu\text{L min}^{-1}$, indicating the occurrence of a certain deactivation. The specific CH₄ production rate of the continuous flow was compared with those of the production rate under batch conditions (Fig. S14). It can be observed that the loss in CH₄ production rate in the batch reaction was of 28% during for 4 h. However, in continuous flow this activity decay was of 14% for the same period of time. This indicates that the conditions of

the continuous flow are more favorable for the stability of Ni(23)-G photocatalyst. It is proposed that this higher stability of Ni(23)-G photocatalyst under continuous flow derives from the more favorable removal of H₂O from the photocatalyst by the gas flow as this by-product is being formed in the reaction. Moreover, the estimated quantum yield for the continuous flow experiments was 0.86%.

4. Conclusions

There is a considerable interest in increasing the photocatalytic activity of conventional semiconductors and it has been shown that graphene can be a suitable additive that can contribute to enhance the photocatalytic activity [32]. In the present manuscript it has been shown that NiO/Ni NPs supported on *fl*-G is a suitable photocatalyst to perform methanation of CO₂ at temperatures about 200 °C, reaching specific CH₄ formation rates of 642 $\mu\text{mol g}_{\text{Ni}}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ that are about double than that measured for Ni NPs supported on high surface area silica-alumina and apparent quantum yields of 1.98%. The positive effect of graphene appears to be due to the photoinduced electron transfer from excited NiO/Ni NPs to the graphene sheet. Experimental evidence indicates that the role of the temperature is to desorb H₂O formed also in the process, causing apparent deactivation of the photocatalyst. Under optimal conditions under continuous flow, photocatalyst deactivation was reduced probably to the more favorable H₂O removal. Mechanistic data using electron donor and acceptor quenchers and observation of *fl*-G charge separated state quenching by NiO/Ni NPs support that the reaction mechanism involves photogenerated charge separation derived from photon absorption in the UV region.

Acknowledgments

Financial support by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (Severo Ochoa SEV-2016-0683, Grapas and CTQ2015-69563-CO2-R1) and by the Generalitat Valencia (Prometeo 2013-014) are gratefully acknowledged. J. A. thanks the Universitat Politècnica de València for a postdoctoral scholarship. D. M. also thanks Spanish Ministry of Science for PhD Scholarship.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.10.071>.

References

- [1] J.A. Herron, J. Kim, A.A. Upadhye, G.W. Huber, C.T. Maravelias, A general framework for the assessment of solar fuel technologies, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 8 (2015) 126–157.
- [2] J.A. Herron, C.T. Maravelias, Assessment of solar-to-fuels strategies: photocatalysis and electrocatalytic reduction, *Energy Technol.* 4 (2016) 1369–1391.
- [3] J. Kim, T.A. Johnson, J.E. Miller, E.B. Stechel, C.T. Maravelias, Fuel production from CO₂ using solar-thermal energy: system level analysis, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 5 (2012) 8417–8429.
- [4] N.S. Lewis, D.G. Nocera, Powering the planet: chemical challenges in solar energy utilization, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103 (2006) 15729–15735.
- [5] A. Corma, H. Garcia, Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ for fuel production: possibilities and challenges, *J. Catal.* 308 (2013) 168–175.
- [6] A. Dhakshinamoorthy, S. Navalon, A. Corma, H. Garcia, Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction by TiO₂ and related titanium containing solids, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 5 (2012) 9217–9233.
- [7] J. Barber, Photosynthetic energy conversion: natural and artificial, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 38 (2009) 185–196.
- [8] D. Gust, T.A. Moore, A.L. Moore, Solar fuels via artificial photosynthesis, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 42 (2009) 1890–1898.
- [9] M.-Q. Yang, N. Zhang, M. Pagliaro, Y.-J. Xu, Artificial photosynthesis over graphene-semiconductor composites. Are we getting better? *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43 (2014) 8240–8254.
- [10] W. Fan, Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, Semiconductor-based nanocomposites for photocatalytic H₂ production and CO₂ conversion, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 15 (2013) 2632–2649.
- [11] E.V. Kondratenko, G. Mul, J. Baltrusaitis, G.O. Larrazabal, J. Perez-Ramirez, Status and perspectives of CO₂ conversion into fuels and chemicals by catalytic,

- photocatalytic and electrocatalytic processes, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 6 (2013) 3112–3135.
- [12] W. Tu, Y. Zhou, Z. Zou, Photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ into renewable hydrocarbon fuels: state-of-the-Art accomplishment, challenges, and prospects, *Adv. Mater.* 26 (2014) 4607–4626.
 - [13] H. Huang, J. Lin, G. Zhu, Y. Weng, X. Wang, X. Fu, J. Long, A long-Lived mononuclear cyclopentadienyl ruthenium complex grafted onto anatase TiO₂ for efficient CO₂ photoreduction, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 55 (2016) 8314–8318.
 - [14] B. Tahir, N.A. Tahir, H. Saidina Amin, Selective photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ by H₂O/H₂ to CH₄ and CH₃OH over Cu-promoted In₂O₃/TiO₂ nanocatalyst, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 389 (2016) 46–55.
 - [15] M. Li, P. Li, K. Chang, T. Wang, L. Liu, Q. Kang, S. Ouyang, J. Ye, Highly efficient and stable photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to CH₄ over Ru loaded NaTaO₃, *Chem. Commun.* 51 (2015) 7645–7648.
 - [16] X. Meng, T. Wang, L. Liu, S. Ouyang, P. Li, H. Hu, T. Kako, H. Iwai, A. Tanaka, J. Ye, Photothermal conversion of CO₂ into CH₄ with H₂ over group VIII nanocatalysts: an alternative approach for solar fuel production, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 53 (2014) 11478–11482.
 - [17] J. Ren, S. Ouyang, H. Xu, X. Meng, T. Wang, D. Wang, J. Ye, Targeting activation of CO₂ and H₂ over Ru-Loaded ultrathin layered double hydroxides to achieve efficient photothermal CO₂ methanation in flow-Type system, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 7 (2017).
 - [18] K.K. Ghuman, L.B. Hoch, P. Szymanski, J.Y.Y. Loh, N.P. Kherani, M.A. E-Sayed, G.A. Ozin, C.V. Singh, Photoexcited surface frustrated lewis pairs for heterogeneous photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 138 (2016) 1206–1214.
 - [19] J. Jia, P.G. O'Brien, L. He, Q. Qiao, T. Fei, L.M. Reyes, T.E. Burrow, Y. Dong, K. Liao, M. Varela, S.J. Pennycook, M. Hmadeh, A.S. Helmy, N.P. Kherani, D.D. Perovic, G.A. Ozin, Visible and near-Infrared photothermal catalyzed hydrogenation of gaseous CO₂ over nanostructured Pd@Nb₂O₅, *Adv. Sci.* 3 (2016).
 - [20] P.G. O'Brien, A. Sandhel, T.E. Wood, A.A. Jelle, L.B. Hoch, D.D. Perovic, C.A. Mims, G.A. Ozin, Photomethanation of gaseous CO₂ over Ru/Silicon nanowire catalysts with visible and near-Infrared photons, *Adv. Sci.* 1 (2014).
 - [21] R. Schloegl, The revolution continues: energiewende 2.0, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 54 (2015) 4436–4439.
 - [22] G. Du, S. Lim, Y. Yang, C. Wang, L. Pfeifferle, G.L. Haller, Methanation of carbon dioxide on Ni-incorporated MCM-41 catalysts: the influence of catalyst pretreatment and study of steady-state reaction, *J. Catal.* 249 (2007) 370–379.
 - [23] T. Inui, M. Funabiki, M. Suehiro, T. Sezume, Methanation of CO₂ and co on supported nickel-based composite catalysts, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1* 75 (1979) 787–802.
 - [24] Y.-x. Pan, C.-j. Liu, Q. Ge, Effect of surface hydroxyls on selective CO₂ hydrogenation over Ni-4/gamma-Al₂O₃: a density functional theory study, *J. Catal.* 272 (2010) 227–234.
 - [25] S. Abello, C. Berruero, D. Montane, High-loaded nickel-alumina catalyst for direct CO₂ hydrogenation into synthetic natural gas (SNG), *Fuel* 113 (2013) 598–609.
 - [26] P. Frontera, A. Macario, M. Ferraro, P. Antonucci, Supported catalysts for CO₂ methanation: a review, *Catalysts* 7 (2017).
 - [27] C.N.R. Rao, A.K. Sood, K.S. Subrahmanyam, A. Govindaraj, Graphene the new two-dimensional nanomaterial, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 48 (2009) 7752–7777.
 - [28] D. Mateo, I. Esteve-Adell, J. Albero, A. Primo, H. García, Oriented 2.0.0 Cu₂O nanoplatelets supported on few-layers graphene as efficient visible light photocatalyst for overall water splitting, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 201 (2017) 582–590.
 - [29] R. Gusain, P. Kumar, O.P. Sharma, S.L. Jain, O.P. Khatri, Reduced graphene oxide–CuO nanocomposites for photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ into methanol under visible light irradiation, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 181 (2016) 352–362.
 - [30] P. Kumar, C. Joshi, A. Barras, B. Sieber, A. Addad, L. Boussekey, S. Szunerits, R. Boukherroub, S.L. Jain, Core-shell structured reduced graphene oxide wrapped magnetically separable rGO@CuZnO@Fe₃O₄ microspheres as superior photocatalyst for CO₂ reduction under visible light, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 205 (2017) 654–665.
 - [31] K. Wang, Q. Li, B. Liu, B. Cheng, W. Ho, J. Yu, Sulfur-doped g-C₃N₄ with enhanced photocatalytic CO₂-reduction performance, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 176 (2015) 44–52.
 - [32] J. Low, J. Yu, W. Ho, Graphene-Based photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction to solar fuel, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 6 (2015) 4244–4251.
 - [33] A. Nikokavou, C. Trapalis, Alternative photocatalysts to TiO₂ for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 391 (2017) 149–174.
 - [34] A. Primo, P. Atienzar, E. Sanchez, J.M. Delgado, H. Garcia, From biomass wastes to large-area, high-quality, N-doped graphene: catalyst-free carbonization of chitosan coatings on arbitrary substrates, *Chem. Commun.* 48 (2012) 9254–9256.
 - [35] B. Williams, P.V. Seger, TiO₂-graphene nanocomposites. UV-assisted photocatalytic reduction of graphene oxide, *ACS Nano* 2 (2008) 1487–1491.
 - [36] Q. Xiang, J. Yu, M. Jaroniec, Graphene-based semiconductor photocatalysts, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 41 (2012) 782–796.
 - [37] H. Zhang, X. Lv, Y. Li, Y. Wang, J. Li, P25-Graphene composite as a high performance photocatalyst, *ACS Nano* 4 (2010) 380–386.
 - [38] J. Albero, E. Dominguez, A. Corma, H. García, Continuous flow photoassisted CO₂ methanation, *Sustainable Energy Fuels* (2017) (in press).
 - [39] M.K. Singh, A. Agarwal, R. Gopal, R.K. Swarnkar, R.K. Kotnala, Dumbbell shaped nickel nanocrystals synthesized by a laser induced fragmentation method, *J. Mater. Chem.* 21 (2011) 11074–11079.
 - [40] J.F. Blandez, I. Esteve-Adell, A. Primo, M. Alvaro, H. García, Nickel nanoparticles supported on graphene as catalysts for aldehyde hydrosilylation, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* 412 (2016) 13–19.
 - [41] M.C. Biesinger, B.P. Payne, L.W.M. Lau, A. Gerson, R.S.C. Smart, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic chemical state quantification of mixed nickel metal, oxide and hydroxide systems, *Surf. Interface Anal.* 41 (2009) 324–332.
 - [42] G. Elango, S.M. Roopan, K.I. Dhamodaran, K. Elumalai, N.A. Al-Dhabi, M.V. Arasu, Spectroscopic investigation of biosynthesized nickel nanoparticles and its larvicidal, pesticidal activities, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 162 (2016) 162–167.
 - [43] R. Mahfouz, F.J. Cadete Santos Aires, A. Brenier, B. Jacquier, J.C. Bertolini, Synthesis and physico-chemical characteristics of nanosized particles produced by laser ablation of a nickel target in water, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 254 (2008) 5181–5190.
 - [44] J. Albero, H. Garcia, A. Corma, Temperature dependence of solar light assisted CO₂ reduction on Ni based photocatalyst, *Top. Catal.* 59 (2016) 787–791.
 - [45] L. Xu, F. Wang, M. Chen, H. Yang, D. Nie, L. Qi, X. Lian, Alkaline-promoted Ni based ordered mesoporous catalysts with enhanced low-temperature catalytic activity toward CO₂ methanation, *RSC Adv.* 7 (2017) 18199–18210.
 - [46] F. Sastre, A.V. Puga, L. Liu, A. Corma, H. García, Complete photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to methane by H₂ under solar light irradiation, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136 (2014) 6798–6801.
 - [47] J. Ren, S. Ouyang, H. Xu, X. Meng, T. Wang, D. Wang, J. Ye, Targeting activation of CO₂ and H₂ over Ru-Loaded ultrathin layered double hydroxides to achieve efficient photothermal CO₂ methanation in flow-Type system, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 7 (2017) article 1614-6840.
 - [48] H.G. Baldoví, M. Álvaro, B. Ferrer, H. García, Photoinduced charge separation on the microsecond timescale in graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide suspensions, *ChemPhysChem* 17 (2016) 958–962.